

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,969	03/16/2004	George Nerubenko	SMB-7038	2364
26294	7590 08/31/2006	EXAMINER		INER
	SUNDHEIM, COVELI	NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T		
	1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700 CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/801,969	NERUBENKO, GEORGE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
7	Lan Nguyen	3683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju	<u>ıly 2006</u> .				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 11-21</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-10 and 22-27</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1 and 11-21</u> is/are rejected.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The amendment to the specification is objected to because only element 800 OR element 900 combined singly with ring 714 and sheath 770 would be the active element. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re: claims 14-21, claim 14 states "A method for damping torsional vibrations of a rotating shaft wherein said shaft includes a hub, a mass physically coupled to said hub via a first spring and coupled to said hub via a second spring and electromagnetic bond". It is unclear if Applicant intends to claim mass 714 or mass 750 because: (1) mass 714 is physically coupled to said hub 705 via a first spring 712; (2) mass 750 is coupled to the hub 705 via a second spring 752 and an electromagnet bond 770; and none of mass 714 and mass 750 is physically coupled to said hub via a first spring and coupled to said hub via a second spring and electromagnetic bond. To further prosecution, claim 14 is being treated as having the preamble -- A method for damping

Art Unit: 3683

torsional vibrations of a rotating shaft wherein said shaft includes a hub, a mass physically coupled to said hub via a first spring and said mass coupled to a second spring via an electromagnetic bond --.

Re: claim 17, it is believed that claim 17 should be claiming a positive 90 degrees as supported in paragraph [049] in the specification and not a negative 90 degrees.

Claim 17 is being treated as claiming a positive 90 degrees.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 11, 12, 14 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tossman et al (USP 3,637,169).

Re: claim 1, Tossman et al. show a damper for mitigating torsional vibrations of a shaft 21, rotating with an angular velocity about a longitudinal axis 11, and rotating perpendicular to a plane of rotation, as in the present invention, comprising: at least one passive damping element 35, one active damping element 14, 15.

Re: claim 11, Tossman et al. show a damper for reducing torsional vibrations of a rotating shaft, as in the present invention, said damper comprising: a first spring 32, a second spring 14, 15, a mass 35 physically coupled to said first spring and electromagnetically coupled to said second spring for oscillation having a frequency,

see column 4, lines 6-16.

wherein said oscillation dampens said torsional vibrations of said shaft that correspond to said frequency, accelerometers coupled to the mass and the shaft for detecting the relative motion of said mass and said shaft, accelerators are not shown but inherent since Tossman uses the angular momentum, column 3, line 4, and angular displacement, column 3, lines 64 and 65 in the controlling scheme, a current generator for adjusting an electromagnetic bond hereby the second spring is coupled to the mass, a current generator is not shown but inherent since in column 4, lines 6 and 7, Tossman discloses that the current is fed to the coils 18, a computer coupled to said accelerometers and said current generator for detecting at least one undesired torsional vibration, determining a corresponding dampening spring stiffness improvement, and

Re: claim 12, Tossman shows through out the disclosure that frequency and amplitude of said mass and said shaft oscillation and phase differential between mass and said shaft are calculated in order to damp the rotational frequency of the spacecraft.

signaling current generator to adjust current in order to implement said improvement,

Re: claim 14, Tossman et al. show a method for damping torsional vibrations of a rotating shaft 21 wherein said shaft includes a hub 27, a mass 35 physically coupled to said hub via a first spring 32 and said mass coupled to a second spring 14, 15 via an electromagnetic bond, said method comprising: (i) oscillating said mass angularly with respect to said hub in a manner that absorbs energy with a resonance related to the total effective spring constants of the first and second springs, as shown in figures 1 and 2, (ii) identifying undesired harmonic motion in said mass relative to said hub, see

Art Unit: 3683

column 2, lines 57-62, (iii) calculating applied current changes that, when applied by a current generator to said electromagnetic bond, see column 4, lines 6-16, change the total effective spring constant and improve dampening of the detected undesired harmonic motion, and (iv) applying said current changes, see column 4, lines 6-16. Note that the claimed feature "current changes" has been treated broadly in that a plurality of changes of the current from being on to being off is considered to be "current changes".

Re: claim 17, Tossman shows in column 4, lines 6-16 that when electromagnets 14, 15 are energized, they quickly dampen the vibration (i.e. harmonic force with a frequency equal to frequency of actual external force).

Re: claims 18-20, even though Tossman does not show the transducer, accelerometers and frequency detector, they are considered to be inherent in Tossman's system since Tossman discloses the use of angular momentum, column 3, line 4, angular displacement, column 3, lines 64 and 65, rotating frequency, column 3, line 6, in the controlling scheme as described in column 4, lines 6-16 wherein the coils 18 are energized based on the changes in the angular momentum to dampen rotational vibration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3683

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tossman et al. in view of Wang et al. (USP 6,598,717).

Tossman's damper and method, as rejected in claims 11 and 14 respectively, lack the spectrum analyzer as claimed. Wang et al. teach the use of a spectrum analyzer 130 in figure 10 as an old and well-known device for signal processing. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Tossman's damper and method to have included a spectrum analyzer as taught by Wang since spectrum analyzers are old and well known devices for signal processing.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 15 and 16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed 7/6/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner's responses are followed. The rejections are maintained based on these reasons; and are repeated above.
 - Applicant's argument about the claimed "active damping element" has been found to be non persuasive. Applicant's argument is more specific than the claim

Application/Control Number: 10/801,969

language. Since no further details or structures provided for the claimed "active damping element" in claim 1, said claimed feature is treated broadly. Tossman clearly shows elements 14, 15 as an "active damping element".

- Applicant's argument about the 112, 2nd paragraph rejection of claims 14-21 is non persuasive. Mass 714, as argued by Applicant, is coupled to the hub 705 via two paths: (i) the first spring 712 and (ii) the outer ring, spring 752 and the electromagnetic bond from between sheath 770 and ring 714. However, mass 714 is not coupled to the hub 705 via the second path as argued by Applicant. The Examiner maintained that mass 714 is coupled to the hub by only one path via the first spring 712. Applicant argues that paragraph [0044] states that the outer ring 750 is rigidly affixed to hub 705. That is true. This passage simply states the connection between hub 705 and the outer ring 750. It does not states the mass 714 is coupled to the hub 705 VIA outer ring 750, spring 752 and the sheath 770.
- Applicant argues that Tossman does not teach accelerometers in order to meet the claimed features in claim 11. Please see Examiner's response to this argument previously.
- Applicant argues that Tossman does not show the changes in the current as
 claimed in claim 14. Claim 14 broadly claims "current changes" without further
 description of the changes. Hence, the claimed "current changes" have been
 treated broadly to encompass any changes. When Applicant further specifies the

Application/Control Number: 10/801,969

Art Unit: 3683

changes as in claims 15 and 16, the changes have been found to define over the prior art of record as indicated above.

Page 8

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan can be reached on (571) 272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lan Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3683

100 8/25/06